

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 30, 2003

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 24, 2003

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2003–04 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1316

Introduced by Assembly Member Parra

February 21, 2003

An act relating to air pollution.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1316, as amended, Parra. Smog check II: coastal districts: study.

Existing law establishes a motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program (smog check), administered by the Department of Consumer Affairs and the State Air Resources Board, that provides for the inspection of all motor vehicles, except those specifically exempted from the program, upon registration, biennially upon renewal of registration, upon transfer of ownership, and in certain other circumstances. Existing law also establishes an enhanced motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program (smog check II) in each urbanized area of the state, any part of which is classified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as a serious, severe, or extreme nonattainment area for specified air contaminants.

This bill would ~~require the State Air Resources Board to conduct a study evaluating~~ *declare the intent of the Legislature to examine in subsequent legislation the feasibility of conducting a study in conjunction with the state board to evaluate* the benefit to air quality in the state that would result from requiring all air quality management

districts and air pollution control districts located along the state's coastline between the Los Angeles and the San Francisco Bay Area to participate in smog check II, irrespective of each district's attainment status with respect to state and federal ambient air quality standards. The bill would require the state board to report the results of the study to the appropriate policy committees of the Legislature not later than January 1, 2005.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 ~~SECTION 1. (a) The State Air Resources Board shall~~
2 ~~conduct a study evaluating~~
3 *SECTION 1. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the*
4 *following:*
5 *(1) The eight-hour health standard for ozone was exceeded in*
6 *the Central Valley in nearly two-thirds of the days between May*
7 *and October 2002.*
8 *(2) By November 2002, 124 health violations had occurred.*
9 *(3) The incidence of asthma has been sharply increasing in*
10 *young and elderly Central Valley residents in recent years.*
11 *(4) The transport of air contaminants from coastal regions*
12 *contributes to the overall poor air quality in the Central Valley.*
13 *(b) It is therefore the intent of the Legislature in subsequent*
14 *legislation to examine the feasibility of conducting a study in*
15 *conjunction with the State Air Resource Board to evaluate the*
16 *benefit to air quality in the state that would result from requiring*
17 *all air quality management districts and air pollution control*
18 *districts located along the state's coastline between the Los*
19 *Angeles and the San Francisco Bay Area to participate in the*
20 *enhanced inspection and maintenance program (smog check II),*
21 *as that program is described in Section 44003 of the Health and*
22 *Safety Code, irrespective of each district's attainment status with*
23 *respect to state and federal ambient air quality standards.*
24 ~~(b) The state board shall report the results of the study required~~
25 ~~pursuant to subdivision (a) to the appropriate policy committees~~
26 ~~of the Legislature not later than January 1, 2005.~~

O